

170327 FBA och Fredrik Magnusson på JModelica forum

Explaining text.

In the file FBA1.mo we have the original model and run by fba1_test1.py

In the file FBA1.mop we have formulated the model as an optimisation problem run by fba1_test2.py Note in the plots we show μ and then the part of μ related to q_{Gr} and q_{Er} respectively, and similarly for q_{O2} .

The original model describes in a simplified way growth of yeast on a mixture of glucose and ethanol. As you see there is a “priority” of consuming glucose before ethanol. What optimisation problem is this the solution to? 😊

Well we can see this as a strategy that gives the maximal growth rate under the constraint of that the respiratory capacity is limited i.e.

$$\text{Maximize: } \mu(q_{Gr}, q_{Er}) = Y_{Gr} \cdot q_{Gr} + Y_{Er} \cdot q_{Er}$$

$$\text{Under constraint: } q_{O2}(q_{Gr}, q_{Er}) = k_{og} \cdot q_{Gr} + k_{oe} \cdot q_{Er} < q_{O2max}$$

The solution to this is in the following way. The solution is for q_{Gr} and q_{Er} on the constraint and gives a relation between q_{Gr} and q_{Er} as

$$q_{Er} = 1/k_{oe} \cdot (q_{O2max} - k_{og} \cdot q_{Gr})$$

which gives

$$\mu(q_{Gr}) = Y_{Er}/k_{oe} \cdot q_{O2max} + (Y_{Gr} - Y_{Er}/k_{oe} \cdot k_{og}) \cdot q_{Gr}$$

Since: $Y_{Gr}=3.5$, $Y_{Er}=1.32$, $k_{og}=2.3$, $k_{oe}=1.6$, $q_{O2max} = 0.00069$, we have $Y_{Gr} - Y_{Er}/k_{oe} \cdot k_{og} > 0$

and therefore q_{Gr} maximal give the maximal growth and therefore:

$$q_{Gr} = \min(q_{Gr_pot}, q_{O2max}/k_{og})$$

$$q_{Er} = \min(q_{Er_pot}, (q_{O2max} - k_{og} \cdot q_{Gr})/k_{oe})$$

This is the optimal solution. In this case it was easy to derive an analytical solution of the problem to optimise μ . For more complicated models we rather would like to maximise μ on-line as a modelling step.

In FBA1.mop I have tried to formulate the optimisation problem, but I do not distinguish between potential and actual q_{Gr} and q_{Er} and we loose some of the dynamics. But solution get reasonably similar.

Problem is that I cannot formulate in the criteria as objective = $-\mu$ which would be natural,

but have to take the round-about with the criteria as objectiveIntegrand = $-VX$

The key is perhaps to make the model to be optimised to include the distinction Between $q_{Er_potential}$ and q_{Er} etc... and then using objective = $-\mu$ way work. Not sure how to do it really. / Jan Peter